

FROM: DeLeon, Cesar

TO: ivan KCSR01/POKANSAS

DATE: 07/15/93

TIME: 12:38 PM

CC: DeLeon, Cesar

SUBJECT: Jurisdiction Over Ammonium Nitrate Ferti

PRIORITY:

ATTACHMENTS:

The hazardous liquid pipeline safety regulations do not cover aqueous ammonium nitrate fertilizer.

REPLY FROM: DeLeon, Cesar Microsoft Mail v3.0 IPM. Microsoft Mail. Note From: Huntoon, Ivan TO: Beam, Richard Cc: Betsock, Barbara

DeLeon, Cesar

Fortner, Tom

Gute, William

Joyner, Fred

Ondak, Ed

Thomas, Jim

Subject: Jurisdiction Over Ammonium Nitrate Fertilizer Solution

Date: 1993-07-12 15:42

Priority: R

Message ID: D93EFF04

Conversation ID: D93EFF04

I have a question from a concerned citizen in regard to our jurisdiction over pipelines that carry ammonium nitrate fertilizer solution.

I recall that years back we were told by headquarters that we do not have any authority over pipelines in this service. This was when we were having problems with leaks in William's lines across southern Missouri. (Their PD-10 system).

The regulations do not cover this material, which, as I recall, was not classified as hazardous.

It also sticks in my mind that this issue has surfaced again in the last few years and that someone held that we would have jurisdiction over such a pipeline under the act.

- or that possibly another region was addressing problems on such a pipeline.

Do we have any authority over pipelines carrying aqueous solutions of ammonium nitrate?

As always, your prompt responses are appreciated.

Thanks,

Ivan